No. 11 (112)-3 Lab-79/7075.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1979) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/S Jindal Strips Ltd. Delhi Road, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 348 of 1978

SHRI RAM PHER WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL STRIPS LTD., DEHLI ROAD, HISSAR.

Precsent:-

Sh. Tek Chand Gupta for the workman.

Shri V. P. Gupta for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/HSR/8/78/55699 dated 14th December, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Hissar and its workman Sh. Ram Pher to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Pher was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?"

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed Photo-stat copy of settlement dated 3rd February, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, Bonus, Earned leave wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatment on 30th, April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand, authorised representative who is General Secretary of Mazdoor Ekta Union Hissar, also made the following statement:

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatment by the management and therefore does not want to persue this reference. The reference may be filed."

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Ram Pher was justified and he is not entitled to any further relief.

Dated the 30th May, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL,

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

Endst. No. 1346, dated the 8th May, 1979

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL.

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

No. 11(112)3Lab-79/7076.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 359 of 1978

SHRI CHANDRESH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL STRIPS LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR

Present :--

Shri Tek Chand Gupta, for the workman. Shri V. P. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/HSR/8/78/55771, dated 14th December, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Hissar and its warkman Shri Chandresh to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Chandresh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed Photo-stat copy of settlement, dated 8th December, 1978, arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity. Bonus, Earned leave wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand, authorised representative who is General Secretary of Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement:—

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore, does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed."

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Chandresh was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

BABU RAM GOYAL.

Dated 30th May, 1979.

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

Endst. No. 1344, dated 8th June, 1979

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/7087.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar:—

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 353 of 1978

SHRI PIRTHI PAL, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL STRIPS LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR

Present .-

Shri Tek Chand Gupta, for the workman. Shri V. P. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/HSR/8/78/55732, dated 14th December, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Hissar, and its workman Shri Pirthi Pal to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Snri Pirthi Pal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of order of reference notices were issued to the Parties. The parties appeared and filed Photo-stat copy of settlement, dated 8th February, 1979, arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, Bonus. Earned leave wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand, authorised representative who is General Secretary of Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement:

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to persue this reference. The reference may be filed."

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Pirthi Pal was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

Dated 30th May, 1979.

BABU RAM GOYAL, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 1349, dated 8th June, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/7088.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar:—

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 351 of 1978

SHRI RAM SANJHAWAN, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL STRIPS LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR

Present :-

Shri Tek Chand Gupta, for the workman.

Stri V. P. Gupla, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/HSR/8/78/55720, dated 14th December, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Hissar and its Workman Shri Ram Sanjhawan to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Sanjhawan was justified and in order?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the Parties. The parties appeared and filled Photo-stat copy of settlement, dated 8th February, 1979, arrived at between the parties under section

18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement agreed to pay gratuity, Bonus, Earned leave wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand authorised representative who is General Secretary of Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement:

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is also no longer interested in reinstatement by management and therefore does not want to persue this reference may be filled."

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the termination of services of Shri Ram Sanjhawan was justified and in order and he is not entitled to an further relief.

BABU RAM GOYAL,

Dated the 30th May, 1979.

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 1352, dated the 8th June, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments. Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL.

Presiding Officer, Labour Coust, Haryana, Robtak

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/7077.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the mangement of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 334 of 1978

SHRI PIRTHI PAL YADAV WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL STRIPS LTD., DELHI ROAD. HISSAR

Present -

Shri Tek Chand Gupta, for the workman. Shri V. P. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. 1D/BSR/83-78/54994, dated 8th December, 1978, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Hissar and its workman Shri Prithi Pal Yadav, to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the term nation of services of Shri Prithi Pal Yadav, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed Photo-stat copy of settlement dated 5th February, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, Bonus, Earned leave, wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand, authorised representative who is General Secretary of Mazdoor Fkta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement:

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore, does not want to pursue this reference. The reference may be filed."

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of services of Shri Prithi Pal Yadav was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

.Dated 30th May 1979

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak:

Endst. No. 1348, dated 8th June, 1979.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-3Lah-79/7082.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Jindal Strips Ltd., Delhi Road, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI BABU RAM GOYAL PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA ROHTAK

Refrence No. 370 of 1978

SHRI JIYA LAL, WORKMAN, AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JINDAL STRIPS LTD., DELHI ROAD, HISSAR

Present :-

Shri Tek Chand Gupta, for the workman. Shri V. P. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. 1D/HSR/76-78/56300, dated 18th December, 19/8, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Jindal Strips Ltd., Hissar and its workman Shri Jiya Lal to this Court, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947;—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Jiya Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of order of reference, notices were issued to the Parties. The parties appeared and filed Photo-stat copy of settlement dated 7th February, 1979 arrived at between the parties under section 18 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. As per settlement the management agreed to pay gratuity, Bonus, Earned Leave, wages and other wages outstanding, if any, to the workman and the workman agreed to withdraw his claim for reinstatement on 30th April, 1979. The parties appeared before me and Shri Tek Chand Gupta, authorised representative who is General Secretary to Mazdoor Ekta Union, Hissar, also made the following statement:—

"The workman has received his compensation for termination of his services and all other claim from the management. He is no longer interested in reinstatement by the management and therefore does not want to purite his reference. The reference may be filed?"

In view of the settlement and the statement of the representative of the workman I answer the award that the termination of services of Sh. Jiya Lal was justified and in order and he is not entitled to any further relief.

The 30th May, 1979

BABU RAM GOYAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana
Rohtak,

Endst. No. 1339 dated 8th June 1979

1

Forworded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1974.

Presiding Officer, Labour Court Haryana, Rohtak.